

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION

THE STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

2:21-CV-067-Z

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
**FILED**  
**AUG 15 2022**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
By *[Signature]* Deputy

**ORDER**

On August 6, 2022, the Fifth Circuit remanded the instant action to this Court. *See ECF No. 145.* The Court vacated its permanent injunction the same day. *See ECF No. 147.* On August 8, 2022, Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint and a Motion to Postpone the Effective Date of Agency Action. *See ECF Nos. 148, 149.* The next day, Plaintiffs and Defendants filed a Joint Status Report on Further Proceedings (“Report”), offering “separate proposals” regarding “how the case should proceed.” ECF No. 154 at 1.

Having considered the Report, the Court adopts the following schedule:

- Defendants produce to Plaintiffs the relevant administrative record **on or before August 26, 2022;**
- Defendants file their opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Postpone **on or before September 2, 2022;**
- Plaintiffs reply to Defendants’ opposition **on or before September 9, 2022.**

The Court denies Defendants’ request for jurisdictional discovery. The Court stays Defendants’ deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint pending resolution of the Motion to Postpone. Defendants must answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint no more than 14 days after the Court adjudicates Plaintiffs’ Motion to Postpone.

**SO ORDERED.**

August 15, 2022



MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE